IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY
CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION
SECOND APPEAL NO.636 OF 1993

M/s.Pruthvi Investment Pvt.Ltd. ...Appellant

Vs.

Dr.Padmakar Khanderao Pradhan ...Respondent

Mr.V.A.Gangal for the Appellant
Ms S.D.Khot h/f Mr.Nitin Pradhan for the Respondent

CORAM: A.S.OKA, J.
DATED: JANUARY 20, 2005.

P.C.:

1. The learned Counsel for the Appellant states that the dispute between the parties is settled amicably. He has placed on record xerox copy of joint pursis which is stated to be filed by both the parties in the pending execution proceedings in the Trial Court. The said xerox copy is taken on record. He states that as per the said compromise the Appellant desires to withdraw the Second Appeal.

2. The learned Counsel for the Respondent states that she has been not instructed by her client about any such compromise. She states that she is unable to offer any comments about the statement made by the learned Counsel for the Appellant.

3. Statement of the learned Counsel of the Appellant that there is a settlement between the parties is accepted. As per the prayer made by him, Second Appeal is dismissed as withdrawn. No order as to costs.

Judge.